

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Securities and Exchange
Commission,

Plaintiff

v.

Payward, Inc. and Payward
Ventures, Inc.,

Defendants

CASE No. 3:23-cv-06003-WHO

ADR CERTIFICATION BY
PARTIES AND COUNSEL

Pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8(b) and ADR L.R. 3-5 (b), each of the undersigned certifies that he or she has:

- 1) Read the handbook entitled “Alternative Dispute Resolution Procedures Handbook” (available at cand.uscourts.gov/adr).
- 2) Discussed with each other the available dispute resolution options provided by the Court and private entities; and
- 3) Considered whether this case might benefit from any of the available dispute resolution options.

Date: 5/30/2024

/s/ Joseph Alm

Date: 5/30/2024

Party
/s/ Matthew C. Solomon

Attorney

Counsel further certifies that he or she has discussed the selection of an ADR process with counsel for the other parties to the case. Based on that discussion, the parties:

- ☐ intend to stipulate to an ADR process
- ☒ prefer to discuss ADR selection with the Assigned Judge at the case management conference

Date: 5/30/2024

/s/ Matthew C. Solomon

Attorney

In compliance with Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), the filer of this document attests that all signatories have concurred in the filing of this document.

Important! E-file this form in ECF using event name: “ADR Certification (ADR LR 3-5 b) of Discussion of ADR Options.”